

Contents

Executive Summary	ES-1
1.0 Purpose and Need for the Proposed Action	1-1
1.1 Introduction	1-1
1.2 Project Location	1-8
1.3 Project Background	1-8
1.4 Prior Relevant National Environmental Policy Act Compliance	1-10
1.4.1 Navajo Generating Station and Related Facilities	1-10
1.4.2 Navajo Generating Station Water Intake Structure Project.....	1-11
1.4.3 Navajo Generating Station Water Service Contract	1-11
1.4.4 Black Mesa-Kayenta Mine.....	1-12
1.5 Background for the Federal Agencies' Purpose and Need.....	1-13
1.5.1 Federal Agencies' Purpose and Need	1-20
1.6 Non-federal Entities	1-22
1.6.1 Non-federal Agency Actions.....	1-22
1.6.2 Non-federal Project Proponents' Interests, Goals, and Objectives	1-22
1.7 Historical Operations	1-22
1.7.1 Navajo Generating Station and Associated Facilities	1-22
1.7.1.1 Coal Storage and Handling	1-23
1.7.1.2 Power Generation.....	1-23
1.7.1.3 Air Pollution Control Systems	1-25
1.7.1.4 Water Delivery, Use, and Treatment	1-25
1.7.1.5 Roadways and Traffic.....	1-27
1.7.1.6 Fuel and Chemical Storage and Use.....	1-27
1.7.1.7 Landfills and Waste Management	1-28
1.7.1.8 Railroad and Coal Delivery to the Navajo Generating Station.....	1-31
1.7.2 Proposed Kayenta Mine Complex	1-33
1.7.2.1 Kayenta Mining and Mine Support Facilities	1-33
1.7.2.2 Former Black Mesa Mine and Mine Support Facilities	1-45
1.7.3 Transmission Systems and Communication Sites	1-47
1.8 Relationship of this Proposed Action to Other Activities	1-49
1.8.1 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Actions	1-49
1.8.2 Joint Federal Agency Work Group.....	1-50
1.8.3 California and Nevada Legislation Regarding Use of Fossil Fuels.....	1-51
1.8.4 Navajo Nation Option to Acquire an Ownership Interest in Navajo Generating Station.....	1-52
1.8.5 Navajo Nation Primacy	1-52
1.9 Government-to-government Consultations.....	1-52
1.10 Public Scoping	1-53

1.10.1	Public Scoping Outreach Process	1-53
1.10.2	Public Scoping Meetings	1-54
1.10.3	Additional Meetings on the Hopi Reservation.....	1-54
1.10.4	Public Scoping Participation.....	1-54
1.10.5	Public Scoping Comments	1-54
1.11	Summary of Issues.....	1-55
1.12	Document Organization.....	1-57
1.13	References.....	1-63
2.0	Proposed Action and Alternatives	2-1
2.1	Introduction	2-1
2.2	Formulation of Alternatives and Alternatives Eliminated from Further Consideration	2-2
2.2.1	Power and Generation Alternatives	2-2
2.2.2	Existing Project Component Alternatives.....	2-4
2.2.3	Federal Replacement Power Alternatives	2-7
2.2.3.1	Inventory of Project Concepts and Proposals	2-7
2.2.3.2	Technical Screening Criteria.....	2-8
2.2.3.3	Total Federal Replacement.....	2-10
2.2.3.4	Partial Federal Replacement	2-11
2.3	Alternatives Evaluated in Detail	2-13
2.3.1	Proposed Action	2-13
2.3.1.1	Navajo Generating Station.....	2-13
2.3.1.2	Proposed Kayenta Mine Complex.....	2-19
2.3.1.3	Transmission Systems and Communication Sites.....	2-24
2.3.1.4	Community Assistance and Environmental Measures	2-24
2.3.2	Partial Federal Replacement Alternatives	2-28
2.3.2.1	Natural Gas PFR Alternative.....	2-28
2.3.2.2	Renewable PFR Alternative.....	2-31
2.3.2.3	Tribal PFR Alternative	2-34
2.3.3	No Action.....	2-38
2.3.3.1	Navajo Generating Station.....	2-38
2.3.3.2	Proposed Kayenta Mine Complex	2-39
2.3.3.3	Transmission Systems and Communication Sites.....	2-39
2.3.3.4	Central Arizona Project	2-40
2.3.4	Impact Summary.....	2-42
2.4	References.....	2-63
3.0	Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences	3.0-1
3.0.1	Overview of the Scope of Analysis	3.0-1
3.0.2	Organization and Methodology for the Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences Chapter.....	3.0-2
3.0.2.1	Regulatory Framework	3.0-2

3.0.2.2	Study Areas	3.0-2
3.0.2.3	Affected Environment	3.0-3
3.0.2.4	Environmental Consequences	3.0-5
3.0.2.4.1	Issues.....	3.0-5
3.0.2.4.2	Assumptions and Impact Methodology.....	3.0-5
3.0.2.4.3	Proposed Action	3.0-5
3.0.2.4.4	Cumulative Impacts.....	3.0-7
3.0.2.4.5	Partial Federal Replacement Alternatives	3.0-16
3.0.2.4.6	No Action	3.0-20
3.0.2.4.7	Comparison of Alternatives	3.0-21
3.0.3	Ecological and Human Health Risk Assessment Approach and Study Area	
	Delineation.....	3.0-21
3.0.3.1	Risk Assessment Study Areas	3.0-23
3.0.3.1.1	NGS Near-field	3.0-23
3.0.3.1.2	San Juan River	3.0-25
3.0.3.1.3	Gap Regions.....	3.0-25
3.0.3.1.4	Proposed KMC	3.0-28
3.0.3.2	Ecological Risk Assessments	3.0-28
3.0.3.2.1	ERA Process and Applicability to the EIS Process.....	3.0-31
3.0.3.3	Human Health Risk Assessments.....	3.0-35
3.0.3.3.1	HHRA Process and Applicability to the EIS Process.....	3.0-36
3.0.3.4	References.....	3.0-37
3.1	Air Quality.....	3.1-1
3.1.1	Regulatory Framework	3.1-1
3.1.1.1	Ambient Air Quality Standards.....	3.1-1
3.1.1.2	Hazardous Air Pollutants.....	3.1-3
3.1.1.3	Regional Haze	3.1-4
3.1.2	Study Areas	3.1-4
3.1.2.1	Proposed Action and Action Alternatives	3.1-4
3.1.2.2	Cumulative.....	3.1-6
3.1.3	Affected Environment	3.1-6
3.1.3.1	Navajo Generating Station	3.1-6
3.1.3.2	Proposed Kayenta Mine Complex.....	3.1-17
3.1.3.3	Transmission Systems and Communication Sites.....	3.1-20
3.1.4	Environmental Consequences	3.1-20
3.1.4.1	Issues.....	3.1-20
3.1.4.2	Assumptions and Impact Methodology.....	3.1-20
3.1.4.3	Proposed Action	3.1-27
3.1.4.4	Natural Gas Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.1-56
3.1.4.5	Renewable Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.1-60
3.1.4.6	Tribal Partial Federal Replacement Alternative.....	3.1-65
3.1.4.7	No Action	3.1-69
3.1.5	References.....	3.1-70

3.2	Climate and Climate Change	3.2-1
3.2.1	Regulatory Framework	3.2-1
3.2.2	Study Areas	3.2-2
3.2.2.1	Proposed Action and Action Alternatives	3.2-2
3.2.2.2	Localized Effects of Climate Change on Socioeconomic Conditions.....	3.2-2
3.2.3	Affected Environment	3.2-3
3.2.3.1	Navajo Generating Station.....	3.2-3
3.2.4	Environmental Consequences	3.2-13
3.2.4.1	Issues.....	3.2-13
3.2.4.2	Assumptions and Impact Methodology.....	3.2-22
3.2.4.3	Proposed Action	3.2-26
3.2.4.4	Natural Gas Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.2-32
3.2.4.5	Renewable Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.2-36
3.2.4.6	Tribal Partial Federal Replacement Alternative.....	3.2-40
3.2.4.7	No Action	3.2-43
3.2.5	References.....	3.2-46
3.3	Geology and Landforms	3.3-1
3.3.1	Regulatory Framework	3.3-1
3.3.2	Study Areas	3.3-1
3.3.2.1	Proposed Action and Action Alternatives	3.3-1
3.3.2.2	Cumulative.....	3.3-1
3.3.3	Affected Environment	3.3-1
3.3.3.1	Navajo Generating Station.....	3.3-6
3.3.3.2	Proposed Kayenta Mine Complex.....	3.3-7
3.3.3.3	Transmission Systems and Communication Sites.....	3.3-8
3.3.4	Environmental Consequences	3.3-11
3.3.4.1	Issues.....	3.3-11
3.3.4.2	Assumptions and Impact Methodology.....	3.3-11
3.3.4.3	Proposed Action	3.3-12
3.3.4.4	Natural Gas Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.3-15
3.3.4.5	Renewable Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.3-18
3.3.4.6	Tribal Partial Federal Replacement Alternative.....	3.3-20
3.3.4.7	No Action	3.3-22
3.3.5	References.....	3.3-24
3.4	Mineral Resources.....	3.4-1
3.4.1	Regulatory Framework	3.4-1
3.4.2	Study Areas	3.4-1
3.4.2.1	Proposed Action and Action Alternatives	3.4-1
3.4.2.2	Cumulative.....	3.4-2
3.4.3	Affected Environment	3.4-2
3.4.3.1	Navajo Generating Station.....	3.4-2
3.4.3.2	Proposed Kayenta Mine Complex.....	3.4-2
3.4.3.3	Transmission Systems and Communication Sites.....	3.4-3

3.4.4	Environmental Consequences	3.4-4
3.4.4.1	Issues.....	3.4-4
3.4.4.2	Assumptions and Impact Methodology.....	3.4-4
3.4.4.3	Proposed Action	3.4-4
3.4.4.4	Natural Gas Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.4-5
3.4.4.5	Renewable Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.4-6
3.4.4.6	Tribal Partial Federal Replacement Alternative.....	3.4-7
3.4.4.7	No Action	3.4-8
3.4.5	References.....	3.4-9
3.5	Paleontological Resources.....	3.5-1
3.5.1	Regulatory Framework	3.5-1
3.5.2	Study Areas	3.5-1
3.5.2.1	Proposed Action and Action Alternatives	3.5-1
3.5.2.2	Cumulative.....	3.5-1
3.5.3	Affected Environment	3.5-1
3.5.3.1	Navajo Generating Station.....	3.5-2
3.5.3.2	Proposed Kayenta Mine Complex.....	3.5-3
3.5.3.3	Transmission Lines and Communication Sites	3.5-3
3.5.4	Environmental Consequences	3.5-5
3.5.4.1	Issues.....	3.5-5
3.5.4.2	Assumptions and Impact Methodology.....	3.5-6
3.5.4.3	Proposed Action	3.5-6
3.5.4.4	Natural Gas Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.5-9
3.5.4.5	Renewable Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.5-11
3.5.4.6	Tribal Partial Federal Replacement Alternative.....	3.5-12
3.5.4.7	No Action	3.5-14
3.5.5	References.....	3.5-16
3.6	Soil Resources.....	3.6-1
3.6.1	Regulatory Framework	3.6-1
3.6.2	Study Areas	3.6-1
3.6.3	Affected Environment	3.6-1
3.6.3.1	Navajo Generating Station.....	3.6-3
3.6.3.2	Proposed Kayenta Mine Complex.....	3.6-5
3.6.3.3	Transmission Systems and Communication Sites.....	3.6-9
3.6.4	Environmental Consequences	3.6-10
3.6.4.1	Issues.....	3.6-10
3.6.4.2	Assumptions and Impact Methodology.....	3.6-10
3.6.4.3	Proposed Action	3.6-11
3.6.4.4	Natural Gas Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.6-16
3.6.4.5	Renewable Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.6-20
3.6.4.6	Tribal Partial Federal Replacement Alternative.....	3.6-23
3.6.4.7	No Action	3.6-26
3.6.5	References.....	3.6-28

3.7	Water Resources	3.7-1
3.7.1	Regulatory Framework	3.7-1
3.7.1.1	Navajo Generating Station	3.7-1
3.7.1.2	Proposed Kayenta Mine Complex	3.7-2
3.7.1.3	Tribal Water Quality Standards	3.7-4
3.7.1.4	Transmission Systems and Communication Sites	3.7-5
3.7.2	Study Areas	3.7-5
3.7.2.1	Navajo Generating Station	3.7-9
3.7.2.2	Proposed Kayenta Mine Complex	3.7-9
3.7.2.3	Transmission Systems and Communication Sites	3.7-9
3.7.3	Affected Environment	3.7-9
3.7.3.1	Regional Overview	3.7-9
3.7.3.2	Navajo Generating Station	3.7-15
3.7.3.3	Proposed Kayenta Mine Complex	3.7-20
3.7.3.4	Transmission Systems and Communication Sites	3.7-41
3.7.4	Environmental Consequences	3.7-42
3.7.4.1	Issues, Assumptions, and Impact Methodology	3.7-42
3.7.4.2	Proposed Action	3.7-44
3.7.4.3	Natural Gas Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.7-116
3.7.4.4	Renewable Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.7-122
3.7.4.5	Tribal Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.7-127
3.7.4.6	No Action	3.7-131
3.7.5	References	3.7-139
3.8	Vegetation Resources	3.8-1
3.8.1	Regulatory Framework	3.8-1
3.8.2	Study Area	3.8-2
3.8.2.1	Proposed Action and Action Alternatives	3.8-2
3.8.2.2	Cumulative	3.8-4
3.8.2.3	Ecological Risk Assessments – Role in Assessing Baseline Risk and Environmental Consequences	3.8-4
3.8.3	Affected Environment	3.8-4
3.8.3.1	Region-wide Vegetation Resources	3.8-4
3.8.3.2	Navajo Generating Station	3.8-7
3.8.3.3	Proposed Kayenta Mine Complex	3.8-10
3.8.3.4	Transmission Systems and Communication Sites	3.8-14
3.8.4	Environmental Consequences	3.8-19
3.8.4.1	Issues	3.8-19
3.8.4.2	Assumptions and Impact Methodology	3.8-19
3.8.4.3	Proposed Action	3.8-21
3.8.4.4	Natural Gas Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.8-27
3.8.4.5	Renewable Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.8-30
3.8.4.6	Tribal Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.8-33
3.8.4.7	No Action	3.8-36

3.8.5	References.....	3.8-37
3.9	Special Status Vegetation Resources	3.9-1
3.9.1	Regulatory Framework	3.9-1
3.9.2	Study Areas	3.9-2
3.9.2.1	Proposed Action and Action Alternatives	3.9-2
3.9.2.2	Cumulative.....	3.9-2
3.9.2.3	Ecological Risk Assessments Role in Assessing Baseline Risk and Environmental Consequences	3.9-3
3.9.3	Affected Environment	3.9-4
3.9.3.1	Navajo Generating Station.....	3.9-4
3.9.3.2	Proposed Kayenta Mine Complex.....	3.9-7
3.9.3.3	Transmission Systems and Communication Sites.....	3.9-9
3.9.4	Environmental Consequences	3.9-14
3.9.4.1	Issues.....	3.9-14
3.9.4.2	Assumptions and Impact Methodology.....	3.9-15
3.9.4.3	Proposed Action	3.9-16
3.9.4.4	Natural Gas Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.9-21
3.9.4.5	Renewable Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.9-24
3.9.4.6	Tribal Partial Federal Replacement Alternative.....	3.9-26
3.9.4.7	No Action	3.9-29
3.9.5	References.....	3.9-30
3.10	Terrestrial Wildlife Resources	3.10-1
3.10.1	Regulatory Framework	3.10-1
3.10.2	Study Areas	3.10-4
3.10.2.1	Proposed Action and Action Alternatives	3.10-4
3.10.2.2	Cumulative.....	3.10-5
3.10.2.3	Ecological Risk Assessment – Role in Assessing Baseline Risk and Environmental Consequences.....	3.10-5
3.10.3	Affected Environment	3.10-7
3.10.3.1	Region-wide Terrestrial Wildlife	3.10-7
3.10.3.2	Navajo Generating Station.....	3.10-16
3.10.3.3	Proposed Kayenta Mine Complex.....	3.10-18
3.10.3.4	Transmission Lines and Communication Sites	3.10-19
3.10.4	Environmental Consequences	3.10-20
3.10.4.1	Issues.....	3.10-20
3.10.4.2	Assumptions and Impact Methodology.....	3.10-20
3.10.4.3	Proposed Action	3.10-22
3.10.4.4	Natural Gas Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.10-32
3.10.4.5	Renewable Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.10-36
3.10.4.6	Tribal Partial Federal Replacement Alternative.....	3.10-38
3.10.4.7	No Action	3.10-41
3.10.5	References.....	3.10-43
3.11	Special Status Wildlife Resources	3.11-1

3.11.1	Regulatory Framework	3.11-1
3.11.2	Study Areas	3.11-4
3.11.2.1	Proposed Action and Action Alternatives	3.11-4
3.11.2.2	Cumulative	3.11-4
3.11.2.3	Ecological Risk Assessments – Role in Assessing Baseline Risk and Environmental Consequences.....	3.11-5
3.11.3	Affected Environment	3.11-6
3.11.3.1	Navajo Generating Station	3.11-6
3.11.3.2	Proposed Kayenta Mine Complex	3.11-22
3.11.3.3	Transmission Systems and Communication Sites	3.11-30
3.11.4	Environmental Consequences	3.11-52
3.11.4.1	Issues	3.11-52
3.11.4.2	Assumptions and Impact Methodology.....	3.11-52
3.11.4.3	Proposed Action	3.11-54
3.11.4.4	Natural Gas Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.11-83
3.11.4.5	Renewable Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.11-86
3.11.4.6	Tribal Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.11-88
3.11.4.7	No Action	3.11-89
3.11.5	References.....	3.11-91
3.12	Aquatic Biological Resources.....	3.12-1
3.12.1	Regulatory Framework	3.12-1
3.12.2	Study Areas	3.12-2
3.12.2.1	Proposed Action and Action Alternatives	3.12-2
3.12.2.2	Cumulative	3.12-4
3.12.2.3	Ecological Risk Assessment – Role in Assessing Baseline Risk and Environmental Consequences	3.12-4
3.12.3	Affected Environment	3.12-7
3.12.3.1	Navajo Generating Station	3.12-7
3.12.3.2	Proposed Kayenta Mine Complex	3.12-17
3.12.3.3	Transmission Lines and Communication Sites	3.12-18
3.12.4	Environmental Consequences	3.12-19
3.12.4.1	Issues	3.12-19
3.12.4.2	Assumptions and Impact Methodology.....	3.12-19
3.12.4.3	Proposed Action	3.12-23
3.12.4.4	Natural Gas Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.12-40
3.12.4.5	Renewable Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.12-45
3.12.4.6	Tribal Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.12-46
3.12.4.7	No Action	3.12-48
3.12.5	References.....	3.12-50
3.13	Special Status Aquatic Species	3.13-1
3.13.1	Regulatory Framework	3.13-1
3.13.2	Study Areas	3.13-3
3.13.2.1	Proposed Action and Action Alternatives	3.13-3

3.13.2.2	Cumulative Effects.....	3.13-3
3.13.2.3	Ecological Risk Assessment - Role in Assessing Baseline Risk and Environmental Consequences.....	3.13-6
3.13.3	Affected Environment.....	3.13-7
3.13.3.1	Navajo Generating Station.....	3.13-7
3.13.3.2	Proposed Kayenta Mine Complex.....	3.13-28
3.13.3.3	Transmission Systems and Communication Sites.....	3.13-29
3.13.4	Environmental Consequences.....	3.13-37
3.13.4.1	Issues.....	3.13-37
3.13.4.2	Assumptions and Impact Methodology.....	3.13-38
3.13.4.3	Proposed Action.....	3.13-41
3.13.4.4	Natural Gas Partial Federal Replacement Alternative.....	3.13-78
3.13.4.5	Renewable Partial Federal Replacement Alternative.....	3.13-84
3.13.4.6	Tribal Partial Federal Replacement Alternative.....	3.13-85
3.13.4.7	No Action.....	3.13-86
3.13.5	References.....	3.13-88
3.14	Land Use.....	3.14-1
3.14.1	Regulatory Framework.....	3.14-1
3.14.1.1	Navajo Generating Station.....	3.14-1
3.14.1.2	Proposed Kayenta Mine Complex.....	3.14-1
3.14.1.3	Transmission Systems and Communication Sites.....	3.14-2
3.14.2	Study Areas.....	3.14-3
3.14.3	Affected Environment.....	3.14-3
3.14.3.1	Navajo Generating Station.....	3.14-4
3.14.3.2	Proposed Kayenta Mine Complex.....	3.14-5
3.14.3.3	Transmission Systems and Communication Sites.....	3.14-10
3.14.4	Environmental Consequences.....	3.14-18
3.14.4.1	Issues.....	3.14-18
3.14.4.2	Assumptions and Impact Methodology.....	3.14-18
3.14.4.3	Proposed Action.....	3.14-19
3.14.4.4	Natural Gas Partial Federal Replacement Alternative.....	3.14-22
3.14.4.5	Renewable Partial Federal Replacement Alternative.....	3.14-23
3.14.4.6	Tribal Partial Federal Replacement Alternative.....	3.14-24
3.14.4.7	No Action Alternative.....	3.14-25
3.14.5	References.....	3.14-26
3.15	Public Safety.....	3.15-1
3.15.1	Regulatory Framework.....	3.15-1
3.15.1.1	Public Safety.....	3.15-1
3.15.1.2	Industrial Noise.....	3.15-1
3.15.1.3	Hazardous Materials.....	3.15-2
3.15.1.4	Solid Waste.....	3.15-3
3.15.2	Study Areas.....	3.15-3
3.15.2.1	Proposed Action and Action Alternatives.....	3.15-3

3.15.2.2	Cumulative	3.15-4
3.15.3	Affected Environment	3.15-4
3.15.3.1	Navajo Generating Station	3.15-6
3.15.3.2	Proposed Kayenta Mine Complex	3.15-10
3.15.3.3	Transmission Systems and Communication Sites	3.15-13
3.15.4	Environmental Consequences	3.15-15
3.15.4.1	Issues	3.15-15
3.15.4.2	Assumptions and Impact Methodology	3.15-15
3.15.4.3	Proposed Action	3.15-16
3.15.4.4	Natural Gas Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.15-25
3.15.4.5	Renewable Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.15-27
3.15.4.6	Tribal Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.15-29
3.15.4.7	No Action	3.15-31
3.15.5	References	3.15-32
3.16	Public Health and Human Health Risk Assessment	3.16-1
3.16.1	Regulatory Framework	3.16-1
3.16.2	Overview	3.16-1
3.16.2.1	Public Health	3.16-1
3.16.2.2	Human Health Risk Assessment	3.16-7
3.16.3	Study Areas	3.16-9
3.16.3.1	Proposed Action and Action Alternatives	3.16-9
3.16.3.2	Cumulative	3.16-10
3.16.4	Affected Environment	3.16-10
3.16.4.1	Navajo Generating Station HHRA	3.16-10
3.16.4.2	Proposed Kayenta Mine Complex HHRA	3.16-14
3.16.4.3	Transmission Systems and Communications Sites	3.16-19
3.16.4.4	Public Health	3.16-20
3.16.5	Environmental Consequences	3.16-34
3.16.5.1	Issues	3.16-34
3.16.5.2	Assumptions and Impact Methodology	3.16-34
3.16.5.3	Proposed Action	3.16-35
3.16.5.4	Natural Gas Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.16-59
3.16.5.5	Renewable Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.16-62
3.16.5.6	Tribal Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.16-65
3.16.5.7	No Action	3.16-67
3.16.6	References	3.16-71
3.17	Cultural Resources	3.17-1
3.17.1	Regulatory Framework	3.17-1
3.17.1.1	Development of Programmatic Agreements	3.17-7
3.17.2	Study Areas	3.17-10
3.17.2.1	Proposed Action and Action Alternatives	3.17-10
3.17.2.2	Cumulative	3.17-14
3.17.3	Affected Environment	3.17-14

3.17.3.1	Data Collection	3.17-14
3.17.3.2	Overview: Cultural History and Federally Recognized Tribes	3.17-17
3.17.3.3	Navajo Generating Station	3.17-24
3.17.3.4	Proposed Kayenta Mine Complex	3.17-27
3.17.3.5	Transmission Systems and Communication Sites	3.17-34
3.17.3.6	Summary	3.17-41
3.17.4	Environmental Consequences	3.17-42
3.17.4.1	Issues	3.17-42
3.17.4.2	Assumptions and Impact Methodology	3.17-43
3.17.4.3	Proposed Action	3.17-45
3.17.4.4	Natural Gas Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.17-51
3.17.4.5	Renewable Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.17-52
3.17.4.6	Tribal Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.17-53
3.17.4.7	No Action	3.17-54
3.17.5	References	3.17-56
3.18	Socioeconomics	3.18-1
3.18.1	Regulatory Framework	3.18-1
3.18.2	Study Area	3.18-1
3.18.3	Affected Environment	3.18-5
3.18.3.1	Navajo Generating Station and Proposed Kayenta Mine Complex – Northeastern Arizona	3.18-5
3.18.3.2	Transmission Systems and Communication Sites	3.18-43
3.18.3.3	Central and Southern Arizona – Area of Indirect Socioeconomic Effect	3.18-44
3.18.4	Environmental Consequences	3.18-56
3.18.4.1	Issues	3.18-56
3.18.4.2	Assumptions and Impact Methodology	3.18-56
3.18.4.3	Proposed Action	3.18-58
3.18.4.4	Natural Gas Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.18-86
3.18.4.5	Renewable Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.18-100
3.18.4.6	Tribal Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.18-112
3.18.4.7	No Action	3.18-127
3.18.5	References	3.18-135
3.19	Environmental Justice	3.19-1
3.19.1	Regulatory Overview	3.19-1
3.19.2	Study Areas	3.19-2
3.19.2.1	Proposed Action and Alternatives	3.19-2
3.19.2.2	Cumulative	3.19-3
3.19.3	Affected Environment	3.19-3
3.19.3.1	Navajo Generating Station and Proposed Kayenta Mine Complex	3.19-4
3.19.3.2	Central Arizona Project Area of Indirect Socioeconomic Effects	3.19-4
3.19.4	Environmental Consequences	3.19-5
3.19.4.1	Issues	3.19-5

3.19.4.2	Assumptions and Impact Methodology.....	3.19-5
3.19.4.3	Proposed Action	3.19-7
3.19.4.4	Natural Gas Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.19-18
3.19.4.5	Renewable Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.19-21
3.19.4.6	Tribal Partial Federal Replacement Alternative.....	3.19-25
3.19.4.7	No Action	3.19-29
3.19.5	References.....	3.19-32
3.20	Indian Trust Assets.....	3.20-1
3.20.1	Regulatory Framework	3.20-1
3.20.1.1	Consultation and Public Involvement.....	3.20-2
3.20.2	Study Areas	3.20-2
3.20.2.1	Proposed Action and Action Alternatives	3.20-2
3.20.2.2	Cumulative.....	3.20-3
3.20.3	Affected Environment	3.20-3
3.20.3.1	Navajo Nation	3.20-3
3.20.3.2	Hopi Tribe	3.20-3
3.20.3.3	Kaibab Band of Paiute Indians.....	3.20-3
3.20.3.4	Moapa Band of Paiute Indians.....	3.20-3
3.20.3.5	CAP-affected Tribes	3.20-4
3.20.4	Environmental Consequences	3.20-4
3.20.4.1	Issues.....	3.20-4
3.20.4.2	Impact Methodology	3.20-5
3.20.4.3	Proposed Action	3.20-5
3.20.4.4	Natural Gas Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.20-15
3.20.4.5	Renewable Partial Federal Replacement Alternative	3.20-21
3.20.4.6	Tribal Partial Federal Replacement Alternative.....	3.20-26
3.20.4.7	No Action	3.20-31
3.20.5	References.....	3.20-36
4.0	Mitigation and Voluntary Commitments.....	4-1
4.1	Introduction	4-1
4.2	Best Management Practices	4-1
4.3	Proposed Mitigation Measures.....	4-2
5.0	Short-term Uses versus Long-term Productivity	5-1
5.1	Introduction	5-1
5.2	Air Quality and Climate.....	5-1
5.2.1	Proposed Action and Action Alternatives.....	5-1
5.2.2	No Action Alternative	5-2
5.3	Water and Water-Dependent Resources	5-2
5.3.1	Proposed Action and Action Alternatives.....	5-2
5.3.2	No Action Alternative	5-2

5.4	Soil and Biological Resources.....	5-2
5.4.1	Proposed Action and Action Alternatives.....	5-2
5.4.2	No Action Alternative	5-3
5.5	Land Use.....	5-3
5.5.1	Proposed Action and Action Alternatives.....	5-3
5.5.2	No Action Alternative	5-3
5.6	Socioeconomics.....	5-4
5.6.1	Proposed Action and Action Alternatives.....	5-4
5.6.2	No Action Alternative	5-4
6.0	Irretrievable and Irreversible Commitment of Resources	6-1
7.0	Consultation and Coordination.....	7-1
7.1	Consultation and Coordination.....	7-1
7.1.1	Cooperating Agencies	7-1
7.1.2	Government-to-Government Consultation.....	7-4
7.1.3	Formal Consultation.....	7-5
7.1.3.1	Biological Resources	7-5
7.1.3.2	Cultural Resources	7-7
7.1.4	Air Quality Subgroup.....	7-8
7.2	Public Review of the Draft EIS	7-8
7.2.1	Distribution List of the Draft EIS	7-9
8.0	List of Preparers.....	8-1
8.1	List of Preparers and Contributors	8-1

List of Appendices

Appendix 1A	Synopsis of Documents
Appendix 1B	Navajo Project – Operation and Maintenance Plan Final 4-22-16
Appendix 1C	BART-Federal Implementation Plan, and Technical Working Group
Appendix 1D	Proposed KMC Information
Appendix 1E	Scoping Report (The Scoping Report is available at: http://ngskmc-eis.net/)
Appendix 2A	NGS Federal Share Replacement Alternatives Characterization
Appendix 3RA	Summary of Risk Assessments Conducted in Support of the NGS-KMC EIS
Appendix 3.1-A	Total Nitrogen and Sulfur Deposition at CASTNET Sites in Four National Parks
Appendix 3.1-B	Atmospheric Deposition Data from the National Dispersion Network Stations
Appendix 3.1-C	Proposed KMC Air Quality Monitoring Report, Summary of Quality Assurance Activities
Appendix 3.1-D	NGS Technical Workgroup Emissions Data for “Bookends” Scenarios
Appendix 3.2-A	Stream Flow Data by Year
Appendix 3.2-B	Precipitation and Temperature Data
Appendix 3.2-C	Greenhouse Gas Emissions Data
Appendix 3.2-D	GHG Emissions from PFR Alternatives
Appendix 3.2-E	Emissions from Bowie Power Plant
Appendix WR-1	Stream Water Quality Characterizations
Appendix WR-2	Pond Water Quality Characterizations PWCC Leasehold
Appendix WR-3	Spring Flows and Water Quality PWCC Leasehold
Appendix WR-4	Alluvial Groundwater Quality Characterizations PWCC Leasehold
Appendix WR-5	Wepo Formation Groundwater Levels and Water Quality PWCC Leasehold
Appendix WR-6	Additional Hydrogeology of Bedrock Units PWCC Leasehold and Surrounding Groundwater Study Area
Appendix WR-7	N-Aquifer Pumping and Water Quality PWCC Leasehold
Appendix WR-8	Cumulative Water Resources Supplemental Information
Appendix WR-9	Groundwater Flow Modeling of the D- and N-Aquifers
Appendix WR-10	U.S. Geological Survey Project Report
Appendix 3.8-A	State-designated Noxious Weeds – Arizona, Nevada, and Utah
Appendix 3.13-A	Injury Effects Methodology
Appendix 3.13-B	ERA Results for the Proposed Action in Combination with Baseline and Other Cumulative Emissions
Appendix 4A	Conservation Measures

List of Tables

Table 1-1	Preliminary List of Federal Actions for the NGS-KMC Project	1-15
Table 1-2	Acreage of Support Operations at NGS	1-23
Table 1-3	Historical Chemical Delivery to NGS	1-28
Table 1-4	Summary of Landfills at NGS	1-28
Table 1-5	Production Volumes through 2019 (from March 27, 2015 Life-of-Mine Plan Significant Revision).....	1-35
Table 1-6	Permitted Mine Support Facilities	1-36
Table 1-7	Former Black Mesa Mine Facilities in Use for Kayenta Mine through 2019	1-36
Table 1-8	Permitted Water Use and Management Facilities at the Proposed KMC	1-38
Table 1-9	Surface Ownership/Management for Lands Crossed by WTS and STS.....	1-47
Table 1-10	Government-to-Government Tribal Consultations to Date	1-53
Table 1-11	Commenter Type or Affiliation	1-55
Table 1-12	Public Scoping Comments on the Proposed Action and Alternatives Development	1-58
Table 1-13	Public Scoping Resource Issues Addressed in the EIS	1-60
Table 2-1	Alternative Power and Generation Sources Considered for Full Replacement.....	2-2
Table 2-2	Alternatives Considered for the Major Proposed Action Components.....	2-4
Table 2-3	Technical Screening Criteria.....	2-8
Table 2-4	Characteristics of the 3-Unit Operation and 2-Unit Operation for the Proposed Action	2-14
Table 2-5	Schedule for Coal Mining by Coal Resource Area	2-19
Table 2-6	Proposed Production by Coal Resource Area 2020 through 2044 (based on 3-Unit Operation)	2-21
Table 2-7	Proposed KMC Activities – 2020-2044.....	2-21
Table 2-8	Annual NGS Proposed Action and Natural Gas PFR Energy Output.....	2-29
Table 2-9	Regional Employment Associated with NGS under the Natural Gas PFR Alternative.....	2-30
Table 2-10	Annual Coal Mined for NGS Under the Natural Gas PFR Alternative	2-30
Table 2-11	Regional Employment Associated with the Proposed Kayenta Mine Complex Under the Natural Gas PFR Alternative	2-31
Table 2-12	Annual Energy Output for the Proposed Action and Renewable PFR Alternative.....	2-32
Table 2-13	Regional Employment Associated with NGS Under the Renewable PFR Alternative.....	2-33
Table 2-14	Annual Coal Mined for NGS Under the Renewable PFR Alternative.....	2-33
Table 2-15	Regional Employment Associated with the Proposed KMC Under the Renewable PFR Alternative.....	2-34

Table 2-16	Annual Energy Output for the Tribal PFR Alternative	2-36
Table 2-17	Regional Employment Associated with NGS Under the Tribal PFR Alternative	2-37
Table 2-18	Annual Coal Mined for NGS Under for the Tribal PFR Alternative.....	2-37
Table 2-19	Regional Employment Associated with the Proposed KMC Under the Tribal PFR Alternative	2-38
Table 2-20	Assumptions for 350-MW Combined Cycle Natural Gas Turbine Facility Land Requirements, Permitting, and Construction	2-41
Table 2-21	Navajo Generating Station – Kayenta Mine Complex Project Impact Summary.....	2-43
Table 3.0-1	Surface Disturbance (Acres) Summary for Past and Present Actions, Proposed Action, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions	3.0-4
Table 3.0-2	Land Acreage to be Reclaimed	3.0-5
Table 3.0-3	EIS Impact Magnitude Correlation to Biological Assessment Effect Determinations	3.0-7
Table 3.0-4	Operational Factors Scaled from the Proposed Action to Estimate Partial Federal Replacement Alternative Impacts	3.0-18
Table 3.0-5	Typical NGS Power Generation for the Proposed Action and PFR Alternatives.....	3.0-19
Table 3.0-6	Typical NGS Annual Water Use for the Proposed Action and PFR Alternatives	3.0-19
Table 3.0-7	Typical Proposed KMC Annual Coal Production for the Proposed Action and PFR Alternatives	3.0-20
Table 3.0-8	Typical Proposed KMC Surface Disturbance Estimates for the Proposed Action and PFR Alternatives.....	3.0-20
Table 3.1-1	National Ambient Air Quality Standards.....	3.1-2
Table 3.1-2	Summary of Hazardous Air Pollutant Regulations.....	3.1-3
Table 3.1-3	Existing Major Sources and Pollutant Emissions in the Study Area	3.1-7
Table 3.1-4	Monitored Air Quality Conditions in the NGS-KMC Region.....	3.1-9
Table 3.1-5	Visibility (Haziness) Records at IMPROVE Sites.....	3.1-12
Table 3.1-6	Average and Trends in Atmospheric Deposition at Clean Air Status and Trends Network Sites (1990 to 2013)	3.1-14
Table 3.1-7	Annual Average Deposition Rates At National Deposition Network Sites.....	3.1-15
Table 3.1-8	Monitored Air Quality Conditions at the Proposed KMC.....	3.1-18
Table 3.1-9	Key Assumptions Regarding Emissions Calculations at NGS.....	3.1-21
Table 3.1-10	Annual NGS Air Emissions from Electric Generating Units Main Boiler Stacks.....	3.1-29
Table 3.1-11	NGS Emissions from Other Sources – 3-Unit Operation.....	3.1-30
Table 3.1-12	Modeled Air Quality Impacts from AERMOD 3-Unit Operation.....	3.1-31
Table 3.1-13	Modeled Air Quality Impacts from AERMOD 2-Unit Operation.....	3.1-32
Table 3.1-14	Maximum Impact and Deposition of HAP Metals from NGS Emissions.....	3.1-33
Table 3.1-15	USEPA Modeling Results	3.1-34
Table 3.1-16	Particulate Matter Emission Sources, Factors, and Controls	3.1-36
Table 3.1-17	Total Emissions for the 8.1 Million tpy Production	3.1-38

Table 3.1-18	Total Emissions for the 5.5 Million tpy Production	3.1-38
Table 3.1-19	Maximum Modeled Design Concentrations at Proposed KMC Boundary and Grid Receptors	3.1-39
Table 3.1-20	Maximum Modeled Design Concentrations at Proposed KMC Residence Receptors	3.1-40
Table 3.1-21	Deposition Rates for Selected Metals from Proposed KMC Operations	3.1-44
Table 3.1-22	Proposed Action Impact Summary	3.1-46
Table 3.1-23	Cumulative Results from Near-field Modeling for Receptors 50 km from NGS.....	3.1-49
Table 3.1-24	Cumulative Results from Near-field Modeling for Receptors 80 km from NGS.....	3.1-50
Table 3.1-25	Range of Maximum Ozone Impacts of NGS Operation at Class I Areas	3.1-52
Table 3.1-26	Range of Maximum Ozone Impacts of NGS Operation at Sensitive Class II Areas.....	3.1-53
Table 3.1-27	Emissions and Impacts from NGS Associated with the Natural Gas PFR Alternative.....	3.1-57
Table 3.1-28	Emissions and Impacts of the Proposed KMC Operations Associated with the Natural Gas PFR Alternative	3.1-59
Table 3.1-29	Emissions and Impacts from NGS Associated with the Renewable PFR Alternative.....	3.1-61
Table 3.1-30	Emissions and Impacts of the Proposed KMC Operations Associated with the Renewable PFR Alternative.....	3.1-63
Table 3.1-31	Emissions and Impacts from NGS Associated with the Tribal PFR Alternative	3.1-65
Table 3.1-32	Emissions and Impacts of the Proposed KMC Operations Associated with the Tribal PFR Alternative	3.1-67
Table 3.1-33	Background Ambient Air Quality Levels at NGS Representative of the No Action Alternative	3.1-69
Table 3.2-1	Estimated Social Cost of Carbon.....	3.2-25
Table 3.2-2	GHG Emissions from NGS for the Proposed Action.....	3.2-26
Table 3.2-3	GHG Emissions from Proposed KMC for the Proposed Action	3.2-27
Table 3.2-4	Total Project GHG Emissions for Proposed Action and Contribution to Projected Global Emissions in 2040.....	3.2-28
Table 3.2-5	Total Proposed Action Contribution to Global GHG Emissions.....	3.2-29
Table 3.2-6	Social Cost of Carbon for the Proposed Action	3.2-31
Table 3.2-7	Social Cost of Carbon for the Federal Share of NGS	3.2-31
Table 3.2-8	GHG Emissions Associated with the Natural Gas PFR Alternative	3.2-32
Table 3.2-9	GHG Emissions from Proposed KMC for the Natural Gas PFR Alternative	3.2-33
Table 3.2-10	Total Project Contribution to Global GHG for the Natural Gas PFR Alternative	3.2-34
Table 3.2-11	Total Natural Gas PFR Alternative Contribution to Global GHG	3.2-34
Table 3.2-12	Social Cost of Carbon for the Natural Gas PFR Alternatives	3.2-35
Table 3.2-13	Social Cost of Carbon for the Federal Share of NGS with Natural Gas PFR	3.2-35

Table 3.2-14	GHG Emissions Associated with the Renewable PFR Alternative	3.2-36
Table 3.2-15	GHG Emissions from Proposed KMC for the Renewable PFR Alternative	3.2-37
Table 3.2-16	Total Project Contribution to Global GHG for Renewable PFR Alternative	3.2-38
Table 3.2-17	Total Renewable PFR Alternative Contribution to Global GHG Emissions	3.2-38
Table 3.2-18	Social Cost of Carbon for the Renewable PFR Alternative	3.2-39
Table 3.2-19	Social Cost of Carbon for the Federal Share of NGS with Renewable PFR	3.2-39
Table 3.2-20	GHG Emissions Associated with the Tribal PFR Alternative.....	3.2-40
Table 3.2-21	GHG Emissions from Proposed KMC for the Tribal PFR Alternative	3.2-41
Table 3.2-22	Total Project Contribution to Global GHG for Tribal PFR Alternative.....	3.2-41
Table 3.2-23	Total Tribal PFR Alternative Contribution to Global GHG Emissions	3.2-42
Table 3.2-24	Social Cost of Carbon for the Tribal PFR Alternative	3.2-43
Table 3.2-25	Social Cost of Carbon for the Federal Share of NGS with Tribal PFR.....	3.2-43
Table 3.2-26	Estimated Social Cost of Carbon for No Action Alternative.....	3.2-45
Table 3.2-27	Estimated Social Cost of Carbon for No Action Alternative Compared to Proposed Action Operations.....	3.2-45
Table 3.3-1	Geologic Units at Communication Sites.....	3.3-10
Table 3.3-2	Overburden Disturbance: High and Low Production Comparison	3.3-13
Table 3.3-3	Proposed KMC Annual Coal Production and Annual Overburden Disturbance.....	3.3-16
Table 3.5-1	Potential Fossil Yield Classification Rating NGS and Proposed KMC.....	3.5-2
Table 3.5-2	Potential Fossil Yield Classification Rating Western Transmission System	3.5-3
Table 3.5-3	Potential Fossil Yield Classification Rating Southern Transmission System.....	3.5-4
Table 3.5-4	Potential Fossil Yield Classification Ratings for Communication Sites	3.5-4
Table 3.6-1	Soil Types for Project Components	3.6-2
Table 3.6-2	Background Soil Trace Metals Data Used for the NGS Baseline Risk Assessments	3.6-5
Table 3.6-3	Soil Sample Types Collected within the Proposed KMC Study Area.....	3.6-8
Table 3.6-4	Soil Trace Metals Data Used for the Proposed KMC Baseline Risk Assessments	3.6-8
Table 3.6-5	Major Land Resource Areas Intersected by the WTS and STS.....	3.6-10
Table 3.6-6	Trace Metal Deposition Comparison to Background Concentrations within NGS Study Area.....	3.6-12
Table 3.6-7	Trace Metal Deposition Comparison to Background Concentrations within the Proposed KMC Study Area.....	3.6-14
Table 3.6-8	Comparison of Trace Metal Emissions Under the Proposed Action and Natural Gas PFR Alternative	3.6-18
Table 3.6-9	Comparison of Trace Metal Emissions Under the Proposed Action and Renewable PFR Alternative.....	3.6-21
Table 3.6-10	Comparison of Trace Metal Emissions Under the Proposed Action and Tribal PFR Alternative	3.6-24

Table 3.7-1	Regulatory Programs for NGS Water Management	3.7-2
Table 3.7-2	Regulatory Programs for Kayenta Mine Water Management	3.7-2
Table 3.7-3	Average Monthly Precipitation at Selected Stations	3.7-10
Table 3.7-4	Lake Powell Magnitudes	3.7-14
Table 3.7-5	Deep Monitoring Wells at NGS.....	3.7-17
Table 3.7-6	NGS Unit Hydraulic Conductivities	3.7-17
Table 3.7-7	Average USGS Water and Lakebed Concentrations for Selected Constituents, Lake Powell and Selected Tributaries	3.7-22
Table 3.7-8	PWCC 2014 Well Withdrawals	3.7-25
Table 3.7-9	D-Aquifer Hydraulic Conductivity	3.7-27
Table 3.7-10	D-Aquifer Water Quality	3.7-29
Table 3.7-11	General Alluvial Groundwater Quality Characteristics.....	3.7-36
Table 3.7-12	Peak Flows at Current PWCC Streamflow Gages.....	3.7-39
Table 3.7-13	Anticipated N-Aquifer Withdrawals for the Proposed KMC Operations	3.7-50
Table 3.7-14	Maximum Drawdown at Key Community Production Wells from Mine-Related Proposed Action Pumping	3.7-53
Table 3.7-15	Percent Increase in Lift at Key Community Production Wells from Mine-Related Proposed Action Pumping	3.7-54
Table 3.7-16	Estimated Change in Sulfate Concentration at the End of 2110 Caused by the Proposed Action Pumping	3.7-55
Table 3.7-17	Simulated Baseflow 1956 Compared to the End of 2019 and Predicted Project Effects 2020 through 2110.....	3.7-57
Table 3.7-18	Spring Groups Developed for Discussion Purposes.....	3.7-61
Table 3.7-19	PWCC Model Calibration Springs	3.7-61
Table 3.7-20	Maximum Impact at Non-Monitored Springs Due to Mine-Related Pumping, Proposed Action ¹	3.7-62
Table 3.7-21	Status and Numbers of Ponds and Impoundments, Proposed KMC.....	3.7-77
Table 3.7-22	Projected Runoff Effects of Structural Management Practices, 2019 Background	3.7-78
Table 3.7-23	Estimated Average Annual Leasehold Runoff Modifications at the End of Mining, 3-Unit Operation	3.7-79
Table 3.7-24	Estimated Average Annual Leasehold Runoff Modifications at the End of Mining, 2-Unit Operation	3.7-79
Table 3.7-25	Estimated Average Annual Leasehold Runoff Modifications after Post-mining Reclamation, 3-Unit Operation and 2-Unit Operation	3.7-80
Table 3.7-26	Cumulative Effects with Proposed Action 3-Unit Operation Withdrawals on Lake Powell Extent and Depth.....	3.7-93
Table 3.7-27	Cumulative Effects with Proposed Action 2-Unit Operation Withdrawals on Lake Powell Extent and Depth.....	3.7-94
Table 3.7-28	Community N-Aquifer Water Demands 2011 and 2110	3.7-98

Table 3.7-29	Maximum Increase in Lift Due to Proposed Mine-Related Pumping During Combined Pumping Activities	3.7-101
Table 3.7-30	PWCC Model Simulated Spring Flow Cumulative Pumping Effects 2019 compared to 2110	3.7-103
Table 3.7-31	Simulated Baseflow End of 2019 Compared to 2110 Cumulative Pumping Effects (Combined Community and Proposed PWCC Pumping)	3.7-105
Table 3.7-32	Predicted Sulfate Concentration and Percentage Change due to All Combined Pumping (Community and Mine-Related) through 2110	3.7-106
Table 3.7-33	Major Water Quality Changes in Long-term Alluvial Groundwater Monitoring	3.7-110
Table 3.7-34	Moenkopi Wash Long-term Water Quality Comparisons, Upstream to Downstream within the Leasehold.....	3.7-113
Table 3.7-35	Dinnebito Wash Long-term Water Quality Comparisons, Upstream to Downstream within the Leasehold.....	3.7-115
Table 3.7-36	Estimated Direct Effects of Natural Gas PFR Water Withdrawals on Lake Powell	3.7-118
Table 3.7-37	Proposed KMC Surface Disturbance Estimates, Proposed Action Compared to the Natural Gas PFR Alternative	3.7-119
Table 3.7-38	Estimated Cumulative Effects of Natural Gas PFR Water Withdrawals and Lake Powell Pipeline Project on Lake Powell	3.7-121
Table 3.7-39	Proposed KMC Surface Disturbance Estimates, Proposed Action Compared to the Renewable PFR Alternative.....	3.7-124
Table 3.7-40	Proposed KMC Surface Disturbance Estimates, Proposed Action Compared to the Tribal PFR Alternative	3.7-129
Table 3.7-41	N-Aquifer Water Level Change from 2019 to 2057, Community and PWCC Effects, No Action Alternative	3.7-134
Table 3.7-42	No Action Alternative, 2019-2057 Percent Increase in N-Aquifer Lift at Key Community Production Wells due to PWCC Pumping	3.7-135
Table 3.8-1	Relevant Statutes, Regulations, and Policies for Plant Species	3.8-1
Table 3.8-2	Noxious Weeds and Invasive Plant Species.....	3.8-6
Table 3.8-3	Land Cover Types and Vegetative Communities within the NGS Near-field Study Area.....	3.8-8
Table 3.8-4	Land Cover Types and Vegetative Communities along the BM&LP Railroad.....	3.8-8
Table 3.8-5	Land Cover Types and Vegetative Communities within the Proposed KMC, 2019	3.8-12
Table 3.8-6	Riparian and Wetland Vegetation Cover Types Relative to Upland Areas Underlain by the N-Aquifer.....	3.8-14
Table 3.8-7	Major Land Cover Types and Vegetative Communities along the WTS.....	3.8-15
Table 3.8-8	Major Land Cover Types and Vegetative Communities along the STS.....	3.8-16
Table 3.8-9	Communication Sites and Surrounding Land Cover	3.8-17
Table 3.8-10	Impacts to Vegetation Communities within the Proposed KMC.....	3.8-22
Table 3.8-11	Emissions Reductions of Selenium, Mercury, and Arsenic Under the Natural Gas PFR Alternative	3.8-28

Table 3.8-12	Emissions Reductions of Selenium, Mercury, and Arsenic Under the Renewable PFR Alternative.....	3.8-31
Table 3.8-13	Emissions Reductions of Selenium, Mercury, and Arsenic Under the Tribal PFR Alternative	3.8-34
Table 3.9-1	Relevant Statutes, Regulations, and Policies for Plant Species	3.9-1
Table 3.9-2	Special Status Plant Species Occurrence in the NGS Study Areas	3.9-4
Table 3.9-3	Special Status Plant Species Occurrence within the N-Aquifer Study Area	3.9-8
Table 3.9-4	Special Status Plant Species Occurrence along the Transmission Systems	3.9-10
Table 3.9-5	Emissions Reductions of Selenium, Mercury, and Arsenic Under the Natural Gas PFR Alternative	3.9-22
Table 3.9-6	Emissions Reductions of Selenium, Mercury, and Arsenic Under the Renewable PFR Alternative.....	3.9-25
Table 3.9-7	Emissions Reductions of Selenium, Mercury, and Arsenic Under the Tribal PFR Alternative	3.9-27
Table 3.10-1	Relevant Statutes, Regulations, and Policies for Wildlife Species	3.10-1
Table 3.10-2	Big Game Species Presence for the Project Components.....	3.10-8
Table 3.10-3	Birds of Conservation Concern for the Project Components.....	3.10-12
Table 3.10-4	Impacts to Associated Wildlife Habitat within the Proposed KMC	3.10-26
Table 3.10-5	Emissions Reductions of Selenium, Mercury, and Arsenic Under the Natural Gas PFR Alternative	3.10-33
Table 3.10-6	Emissions Reductions of Selenium, Mercury, and Arsenic Under the Renewable PFR Alternative.....	3.10-36
Table 3.10-7	Emissions Reductions of Selenium, Mercury, and Arsenic Under the Tribal PFR Alternative	3.10-39
Table 3.11-1	Relevant Statutes, Regulations, and Policies for Wildlife Species	3.11-1
Table 3.11-2	Other Special Status Wildlife Species Occurrence in the NGS Study Areas.....	3.11-20
Table 3.11-3	Other Special Status Wildlife Species Occurrence in the Proposed KMC and N-Aquifer Study Areas	3.11-28
Table 3.11-4	Other Special Status Wildlife Species Occurrence along the Transmission System ROWs.....	3.11-48
Table 3.11-5	Impacts to Other Special Status Wildlife Species Known or with Potential to Occur in the NGS ERA Study Areas under the Proposed Action	3.11-56
Table 3.11-6	Impacts for Other Special Status Wildlife Species within the Proposed KMC and N-Aquifer Study Areas	3.11-62
Table 3.11-7	Impacts for Other Special Status Wildlife Species known or with Potential to Occur Along the WTS and STS.....	3.11-74
Table 3.12-1	Relevant Statutes, Regulations, and Policies for Aquatic Species	3.12-1
Table 3.12-2	Non-native Fish Species in Lake Powell, Colorado River Gap Regions, and San Juan River	3.12-9
Table 3.12-3	Sport Fish Spawning Periods and Habitat	3.12-11
Table 3.12-4	Range in Average Fish Tissue Concentrations.....	3.12-13

Table 3.12-5	Risk of Mercury Baseline Conditions to Aquatic Species in the NGS Near-field and Gap Regions	3.12-14
Table 3.12-6	Risk of Selenium Baseline Conditions to Aquatic Species in the NGS Near-field and Gap Regions	3.12-14
Table 3.12-7	Risk from Arsenic, Mercury, and Selenium Baseline Conditions to Aquatic Species in the San Juan River Analysis Area	3.12-16
Table 3.12-8	NGS Near-field ERA Potential Impacts of Mercury and Selenium Emissions and Deposition from Baseline Conditions and NGS on Aquatic Species, 2020 – 2074	3.12-24
Table 3.12-9	Gap Regions ERA Potential Impacts of Metal Emissions and Deposition from Baseline Conditions and NGS on Aquatic Species, Years 2020 – 2074	3.12-26
Table 3.12-10	San Juan River ERA Potential Impacts of Metal Emissions and Deposition from Baseline Conditions and NGS on Aquatic Species, 2020 – 2074	3.12-28
Table 3.12-11	NGS Water Use Impacts on Aquatic Biological Resources	3.12-29
Table 3.12-12	Impacts to Aquatic Species from Mining Disturbance	3.12-30
Table 3.12-13	Proposed KMC ERA Potential Impacts on Aquatic Species for All COPECs.....	3.12-32
Table 3.12-14	Measured Fish Tissue Concentrations (Refined) for Adult Non-Special Status Species and Relative Contribution of NGS Operations to Total Cumulative Sources, 2020 – 2074	3.12-35
Table 3.12-15	Comparison of Mercury Hazard Quotients in Adult Fish Tissue	3.12-42
Table 3.13-1	Relevant Statutes, Regulations, and Policies for Aquatic Species	3.13-1
Table 3.13-2	Federally Listed Fish Species Occurrence in the Lake Powell, Colorado River Gap Regions, and Lower San Juan River Study Areas.....	3.13-8
Table 3.13-3	Northeast Gap Risk Results for Bonytail Using Surrogate Species Data (Existing Conditions)	3.13-11
Table 3.13-4	Northeast Gap Risk Results for Colorado Pikeminnow Using Surrogate Species Data (Existing Conditions)	3.13-17
Table 3.13-5	San Juan River Risk Results for Colorado Pikeminnow Using Surrogate Species Data (Existing Conditions)	3.13-17
Table 3.13-6	San Juan River Risk Results for Colorado Pikeminnow Using Colorado Pikeminnow Tissue Data (Existing Conditions)	3.13-18
Table 3.13-7	Gap Region Risk Results for Humpback Chub Using Surrogate Species and Baseline Fish Tissue Data (Existing Conditions)	3.13-22
Table 3.13-8	Northeast Gap Risk Results for Razorback Sucker Using Surrogate Species Data (Existing Conditions)	3.13-25
Table 3.13-9	Southwest Gap Region Risk Results for Razorback Sucker Using Surrogate Species and Baseline Fish Tissue Data (Existing Conditions).....	3.13-26
Table 3.13-10	San Juan River Risk Results for Razorback Sucker Using Surrogate Species and Baseline Fish Tissue Data (Existing Conditions)	3.13-26
Table 3.13-11	San Juan River Risk Results Using Razorback Sucker Tissue and Baseline Fish Tissue Data (Existing Conditions)	3.13-27
Table 3.13-12	Other Special Status Aquatic Species in the Lake Powell, Colorado River Gap Regions, and San Juan River Analysis Areas	3.13-28

Table 3.13-13	Special Status Aquatic Species Occurring in Streams Crossed by the Transmission Systems	3.13-29
Table 3.13-14	Mercury and Selenium Tissue Concentrations for Bonytail Surrogate (Rainbow Trout) in the Northeast Gap Region	3.13-42
Table 3.13-15	Mercury and Selenium Tissue Concentrations for Colorado Pikeminnow Surrogate (Largemouth Bass) in the Northeast Gap Region	3.13-44
Table 3.13-16	Arsenic, Mercury, and Selenium Tissue Concentrations for Colorado Pikeminnow Surrogate (Striped Bass) in the San Juan River	3.13-45
Table 3.13-17	Arsenic, Mercury, and Selenium Tissue Concentrations for Colorado Pikeminnow Tissue in the San Juan River	3.13-45
Table 3.13-18	Mercury Injury Effects to Colorado Pikeminnow in the San Juan River, 2020 to 2074	3.13-46
Table 3.13-19	Mercury and Selenium Tissue Concentrations for Humpback Chub in the Southwest Gap Region	3.13-51
Table 3.13-20	Mercury and Selenium Injury Effects to Humpback Chub in the Southwest Gap Region, 2020 to 2074, 3-Unit Operation.....	3.13-52
Table 3.13-21	Mercury and Selenium Tissue Concentrations for Razorback Sucker in the Southwest Gap Region	3.13-55
Table 3.13-22	Mercury and Selenium Injury Effects to Razorback Sucker in the Southwest Gap Region, 2020 to 2074, 3-Unit Operation.....	3.13-56
Table 3.13-23	Arsenic, Mercury, and Selenium Tissue Concentrations for Razorback Sucker Surrogates in the San Juan River	3.13-57
Table 3.13-24	Arsenic, Mercury, and Selenium Tissue Concentrations for Razorback Sucker in the San Juan River	3.13-57
Table 3.13-25	Mercury and Selenium Injury Effects to Razorback Sucker in the San Juan River, 2020 to 2074	3.13-58
Table 3.13-26	Mercury and Selenium Injury Effects to Colorado Pikeminnow in the San Juan River, 2020 to 2074	3.13-70
Table 3.13-27	Mercury and Selenium Injury Effects to Humpback Chub in the Southwest Gap Region, 2020 to 2074	3.13-73
Table 3.13-28	Mercury and Selenium Injury Effects to Razorback Sucker in the Southwest Gap Region, 2020 to 2074	3.13-76
Table 3.13-29	Mercury and Selenium Injury Effects to Razorback Sucker in the San Juan River, 2020 to 2074	3.13-76
Table 3.13-30	Comparison of Mercury HQs for the Proposed Action and Natural Gas PFR Alternative	3.13-79
Table 3.14-1	Anticipated Mine Reclamation through 2019 for Permanent Program Affected Lands	3.14-9
Table 3.14-2	Plant Species Selected for Cultural Planting.....	3.14-9
Table 3.14-3	Land Ownership Crossed by the WTS and STS	3.14-10
Table 3.14-4	Location and Size of Communication Sites.....	3.14-11
Table 3.14-5	Special Designation Areas Crossed by WTS.....	3.14-12

Table 3.15-1	OSHA Workplace Permissible Noise Exposures	3.15-1
Table 3.15-2	Major Bulk Chemical and Product Deliveries to NGS.....	3.15-8
Table 3.15-3	Annual Off-site Solid Waste Volume and Disposition	3.15-9
Table 3.15-4	CCR Disposal in 2014	3.15-10
Table 3.15-5	Noise Levels at Various Distances from Typical Mining Equipment	3.15-11
Table 3.15-6	Major Bulk Chemical and Product Deliveries to Kayenta Mine	3.15-13
Table 3.15-7	Noise and Average Electric and Magnetic Field Strengths at Study Area Edge	3.15-14
Table 3.15-8	Potential Transportation Incidents During Transportation of Chemicals and Products.....	3.15-19
Table 3.16-1	Summary of Public Health Approach: Health Categories Selected for Public Health Evaluation	3.16-4
Table 3.16-2	NGS Baseline HHRA Result.....	3.16-13
Table 3.16-3	NGS Baseline HHRA Blood Lead Model Results	3.16-14
Table 3.16-4	Proposed KMC Baseline Risk Case Result	3.16-17
Table 3.16-5	Proposed KMC Baseline Risk Case Blood Lead Model Results For the Resident Farmer Child	3.16-18
Table 3.16-6	Coconino and Navajo Counties Health Ranking within Arizona.....	3.16-23
Table 3.16-7	Leading Causes of Death in Coconino and Navajo Counties	3.16-25
Table 3.16-8	Leading Causes of Death Among Navajo	3.16-26
Table 3.16-9	General Health Status Among Chinle Agency, U.S., and Arizona Populations.....	3.16-27
Table 3.16-10	Definitions of Health Impact Categories	3.16-34
Table 3.16-11	Comparison of NGS Baseline and Proposed Action HHRA Results	3.16-38
Table 3.16-12	Comparison of NGS Baseline and Proposed Action Blood Lead Model Results ...	3.16-39
Table 3.16-13	NGS HHRA Results: Baseline and Future Operations.....	3.16-40
Table 3.16-14	Comparison of Proposed KMC Baseline to Proposed Action Risk Cases for Resident, Gardener, and Farmer Exposure Scenarios	3.16-42
Table 3.16-15	Proposed Project Public Health Impacts.....	3.16-47
Table 3.16-16	NGS HHRA 3-Unit Operation Results for Total Cumulative Impacts.....	3.16-57
Table 3.16-17	NGS HHRA 2-Unit Operation Results for Total Cumulative Impacts.....	3.16-57
Table 3.16-18	Proposed KMC HHRA Results for Total Cumulative Impacts.....	3.16-58
Table 3.16-19	NGS HHRA Results: Baseline and Other Cumulative Sources	3.16-68
Table 3.16-20	Summary of Proposed KMC HHRA Results – Baseline and Other Cumulative Sources (No Action)	3.16-69
Table 3.17-1	Cultural Resource Laws, Regulations, Executive Orders, and Other Federal Authorities.....	3.17-2
Table 3.17-2	KMC Programmatic Agreement Consultation	3.17-8
Table 3.17-3	NGS Programmatic Agreement Consultation	3.17-8
Table 3.17-4	Affected Environment Lands within the Proposed KMC.....	3.17-13

Table 3.17-5	Repositories and Institutions Providing Data for the Cultural Resources Records Search and Literature Review.....	3.17-15
Table 3.17-6	Chronological Period of Archaeological Cultural Resources in the BM&LP Railroad Portion of the Study Area	3.17-25
Table 3.17-7	Archaeological Site Type of Archaeological Cultural Resources in the BM&LP Railroad Portion of the Study Area	3.17-26
Table 3.17-8	Status of Cultural Resources Sites on Former Black Mesa Mine and Kayenta Mine Permit Areas.....	3.17-29
Table 3.17-9	Data Quality Assessment Survey Results.....	3.17-31
Table 3.17-10	Archaeological Cultural Resources within Lands Affected by Prior Actions in the Proposed KMC	3.17-32
Table 3.17-11	Archaeological Cultural Resources within the Proposed KMC	3.17-32
Table 3.17-12	Known TCPs and Human Burials Within or Near Proposed KMC Mine Areas	3.17-34
Table 3.17-13	Archaeological Cultural Resources Along the Western Transmission System	3.17-35
Table 3.17-14	Chronological Period of Archaeological Cultural Resources Along the Western Transmission System.....	3.17-35
Table 3.17-15	Historic Properties Along the Western Transmission System.....	3.17-36
Table 3.17-16	Archaeological Cultural Resources Along the Southern Transmission System	3.17-38
Table 3.17-17	Existing and Potential TCPs near the Southern Transmission System ROW	3.17-39
Table 3.17-18	Locations of Previous Cultural Resources Investigations at External Communications Sites	3.17-39
Table 3.17-19	Archaeological Cultural Resources at External Communication Sites.....	3.17-40
Table 3.17-20	Existing and Potential TCPs at or Near External Communication Sites	3.17-41
Table 3.17-21	Status of Archaeological Cultural Resources by Project Feature	3.17-42
Table 3.17-22	Impact Issues Anticipated on the Proposed KMC	3.17-43
Table 3.17-23	Definitions of Impact Magnitude Determinations for Cultural Resources.....	3.17-44
Table 3.17-24	Eligible Cultural Resources Potentially Affected by the Proposed Action 8.1 Million tpy Operation.....	3.17-46
Table 3.17-25	Eligible and Potentially Eligible Cultural Resources Potentially Affected by the Proposed Action 5.5 Million tpy Operation	3.17-47
Table 3.17-26	Proposed Action Impact Summary to Historic Properties and TCPs	3.17-49
Table 3.17-27	Cumulative Impacts to Cultural Resources	3.17-50
Table 3.18-1	Arizona Tribes with CAP Allocations	3.18-5
Table 3.18-2	2010 Population and Approximate One-way Travel Distances to NGS and Kayenta Mine.....	3.18-6
Table 3.18-3	Hopi and Navajo Population	3.18-7
Table 3.18-4	Population 2000 and 2010.....	3.18-7
Table 3.18-5	Population Changes of the Navajo Nation and Hopi Reservation, 1980 to 2010.....	3.18-8
Table 3.18-6	Population of the Primary Segment of the Socioeconomic Study Area, 2000 and 2010.....	3.18-9

Table 3.18-7	Race and Ethnicity, 2010	3.18-10
Table 3.18-8	Labor Force Participation, Employment, and Unemployment, 2009 to 2013	3.18-11
Table 3.18-9	Income Characteristics of Hopi and Navajo Households Living on the Reservations, 2010	3.18-11
Table 3.18-10	Employment by Industry, 2013	3.18-13
Table 3.18-11	Personal Income in Northeastern Arizona, 2013	3.18-17
Table 3.18-12	Personal Income by Major Source, 2013	3.18-17
Table 3.18-13	Nonfarm Income from Private and Government Sources, 2013	3.18-17
Table 3.18-14	Managerial and Non-Managerial Positions at NGS Held by Native Americans, 2015	3.18-18
Table 3.18-15	Place of Residence for NGS Employees, 4th Quarter 2014	3.18-19
Table 3.18-16	Managerial and Non-Managerial Positions at the Kayenta Mine Held by Native Americans, 2015	3.18-21
Table 3.18-17	Place of Residence for Kayenta Mine Employees, 2014.....	3.18-21
Table 3.18-18	Housing Occupancy and Vacancy, 2010	3.18-24
Table 3.18-19	Selected Characteristics of Occupied Housing Units, 2010	3.18-25
Table 3.18-20	Educational Attainment of Individuals 25 Years of Age and Older.....	3.18-25
Table 3.18-21	Population Forecasts for the State of Arizona, 2010 to 2020.....	3.18-26
Table 3.18-22	Population Forecasts for Northeastern Arizona, 2010 to 2020	3.18-26
Table 3.18-23	Population 2000 and 2010, Indian Tribes with CAP Water Allocations and Counties in Central and Southern Arizona.....	3.18-44
Table 3.18-24	Tribes with CAP Water Allocations.....	3.18-45
Table 3.18-25	Income Characteristics of CAP-related Indian Reservation Residents.....	3.18-46
Table 3.18-26	Selected Characteristics of Agriculture in Arizona, 2012.....	3.18-47
Table 3.18-27	Selected Characteristics of Farming on CAP-affected Reservations in Central Arizona, 2012	3.18-48
Table 3.18-28	CAWCD Water Deliveries and Net Water Delivery Charges, 2014	3.18-49
Table 3.18-29	CAWCD Budgeted Revenues, 2014	3.18-51
Table 3.18-30	CAP 2014 Rates Per Acre-Foot of Delivered Water.....	3.18-52
Table 3.18-31	Population Forecasts for Central and Southern Arizona, 2010 to 2020.....	3.18-55
Table 3.18-32	NGS Operational and Employment Impacts of the Proposed Action.....	3.18-61
Table 3.18-33	NGS Labor Income and Public Revenue Impacts of the Proposed Action.....	3.18-63
Table 3.18-34	NGS Demographic/Community Impacts of the Proposed Action.....	3.18-64
Table 3.18-35	Proposed KMC Operations and Employment Impacts of the Proposed Action	3.18-67
Table 3.18-36	Proposed KMC Labor Income and Public Revenue of the Proposed Action.....	3.18-68
Table 3.18-37	Proposed KMC Demographic/Community Impacts of the Proposed Action.....	3.18-69
Table 3.18-38	Proposed KMC Sociocultural Impacts of the Proposed Action	3.18-71

Table 3.18-39	Socioeconomic Impacts of the Proposed Action Related to Transmission Systems Communications Sites	3.18-75
Table 3.18-40	Incremental Costs of Reclamation Power from NGS Associated with Selective Catalytic Reduction Installation.....	3.18-76
Table 3.18-41	Allocation of Federal Power from NGS for CAP	3.18-77
Table 3.18-42	Future Energy Rates for Federal Power from NGS	3.18-77
Table 3.18-43	Annual Energy Charges to CAP for NGS Power	3.18-78
Table 3.18-44	CAP Annual Energy Charges per acre-foot.....	3.18-78
Table 3.18-45	Comparative Impact of Energy Charges for CAP Customers	3.18-78
Table 3.18-46	Impact Summary for the Proposed Action Operations	3.18-80
Table 3.18-47	Annual Energy Output and Coal Use for the Natural Gas PFR Alternative	3.18-87
Table 3.18-48	Socioeconomic Impacts of the Natural Gas PFR Alternative Related to NGS under the Natural Gas PFR Alternative	3.18-89
Table 3.18-49	Socioeconomic Impacts of the Natural Gas PFR Alternative Related to the Proposed KMC	3.18-91
Table 3.18-50	Annual Federal Power from NGS and Natural Gas PFR Alternative Supply for CAP	3.18-93
Table 3.18-51	Incremental Costs to Reclamation due to NGS Curtailment under the Natural Gas PFR Alternative	3.18-93
Table 3.18-52	Future Energy Charges per MW hour under the Natural Gas PFR Alternative.....	3.18-94
Table 3.18-53	Annual Energy Charges to CAP under the Natural Gas PFR Alternative	3.18-94
Table 3.18-54	CAP Annual Energy Charges per acre-foot under the Natural Gas PFR Alternative	3.18-96
Table 3.18-55	Comparative Impact of Energy Charges for CAP Customers, 2020 to 2025	3.18-96
Table 3.18-56	Socioeconomic Impact Summary for the Natural Gas PFR Alternative.....	3.18-98
Table 3.18-57	Annual Energy Output and Coal Use for the Renewable PFR Alternative	3.18-101
Table 3.18-58	Socioeconomic Impacts of the Renewable PFR Alternative Related to NGS	3.18-102
Table 3.18-59	Socioeconomic Impacts of the Renewable PFR Alternative Related to the Proposed KMC	3.18-104
Table 3.18-60	Annual Federal Power from NGS and Renewable PFR Alternative Supply for CAP	3.18-105
Table 3.18-61	Incremental Costs to Reclamation due to NGS Curtailment under the Renewable PFR Alternative.....	3.18-106
Table 3.18-62	Future Energy Charges per MW hour under the Renewable PFR Alternative.....	3.18-107
Table 3.18-63	Annual Energy Charges to CAP under the Renewable PFR Alternative	3.18-107
Table 3.18-64	CAP Annual Energy Charges per acre-foot under the Renewable PFR Alternative	3.18-108
Table 3.18-65	Comparative Impact of Energy Charges for CAP Customers, 2020 to 2025, Renewable PFR	3.18-109
Table 3.18-66	Socioeconomic Impact Summary for the Renewable PFR Alternative.....	3.18-110

Table 3.18-67	Annual Energy and Coal Use for the Tribal PFR Alternative.....	3.18-113
Table 3.18-68	Economic Characteristics Associated with a New Photovoltaic Solar Facility.....	3.18-115
Table 3.18-69	Socioeconomic Impacts of the Tribal PFR Alternative Related to NGS.....	3.18-116
Table 3.18-70	Socioeconomic Impacts of the Tribal PFR Alternative Related to the Proposed KMC	3.18-119
Table 3.18-71	Annual Federal Power from NGS and Tribal PFR Alternative for CAP	3.18-120
Table 3.18-72	Incremental Costs to Reclamation due to NGS Curtailment under the Tribal PFR Alternative	3.18-120
Table 3.18-73	Estimated Future Energy Charges per MW hour for the Tribal PFR Alternative ..	3.18-121
Table 3.18-74	Annual Energy Charges to CAP under the Tribal PFR Alternative.....	3.18-121
Table 3.18-75	CAP Annual Energy Charges per acre-foot under the Tribal PFR Alternative...	3.18-122
Table 3.18-76	Comparative Impact of Energy Charges for CAP Customers, 2020 to 2025, Tribal PFR Alternative	3.18-123
Table 3.18-77	Summary of Socioeconomic Impacts for the Tribal PFR Alternative	3.18-124
Table 3.18-78	Operations and Employment Impacts of the No Action Related to NGS and the Kayenta Mine.....	3.18-128
Table 3.18-79	Demographic/Community Impacts of the No Action Related to NGS and the Kayenta Mine.....	3.18-130
Table 3.18-80	Selected Sociocultural Impacts of No Action Related to NGS and the Kayenta Mine	3.18-131
Table 3.18-81	Energy Costs Per MW hours – Proposed Action and No Action Alternatives	3.18-133
Table 3.19-1	2010 Minority Populations in the Study Area and Comparative Reference Areas.....	3.19-3
Table 3.19-2	2010 Low Income Populations in the Study Area and Comparative Reference Areas.....	3.19-4
Table 3.20-1	Tribes with CAP Water Allocations	3.20-4
Table 4-1	Summary of Proposed Mitigation Measures for the NGS and KMC Project EIS	4-3
Table 6-1	NGS-KMC Project Irretrievable and Irreversible Commitment of Resources for the Proposed Action and Alternatives.	6-1
Table 7-1	Cooperating Agency Interaction	7-2
Table 7-2	Government–to–Government Tribal Consultations to Date	7-4
Table 7-3	Information Provided by Tribe or Agency Regarding Listed Species in the Project Area	7-6
Table 7-4	Summary of Cultural Resources Update Meetings.....	7-8

List of Figures

Figure 1-1	General Project Location	1-2
Figure 1-2	NGS Participants' Interest Percentages.....	1-3
Figure 1-3	Navajo Generating Station Facilities	1-4
Figure 1-4	Proposed KMC Permit Area	1-6
Figure 1-5	Proposed KMC Coal Resource Areas.....	1-14
Figure 1-6	NGS Process Flow Diagram.....	1-24
Figure 1-7	One of Six Cooling Towers at Navajo Generating Station.....	1-26
Figure 1-8	NGS Ash Disposal Site	1-30
Figure 1-9	BM&LP Railroad from Kayenta Mine to NGS	1-32
Figure 1-10	Historical Operations Mining Areas through 2019	1-34
Figure 1-11	Historical Operations Roads and Facilities.....	1-37
Figure 1-12	Historical Operations Water Wells, Supply Pipelines, and Freshwater Ponds	1-40
Figure 1-13	Historical Operations Air Quality, Meteorological and Precipitation Monitoring Locations	1-44
Figure 1-14	Transmission Systems and Communication Sites.....	1-48
Figure 2-1	Proposed KMC Planned Mining Areas from 2020 through 2044	2-20
Figure 3.0-1	Foreseeable Actions Regional Overview	3.0-10
Figure 3.0-2	Foreseeable Actions Eastern Extent Detail.....	3.0-11
Figure 3.0-3	Proposed Lake Powell Pipeline Project.....	3.0-15
Figure 3.0-4	Proposed Transmission Projects – Las Vegas Region	3.0-17
Figure 3.0-5	Navajo Generating Station Near-field Risk Assessment Study Area.....	3.0-24
Figure 3.0-6	San Juan River Watershed and Risk Assessment Study Area.....	3.0-26
Figure 3.0-7	Gap Regions and Risk Assessment Study Area	3.0-27
Figure 3.0-8	Proposed KMC Risk Assessment Study Area	3.0-29
Figure 3.1-1	Air Quality Study Areas	3.1-5
Figure 3.1-2a-d	Nearest Major Sources of Criteria Air Pollutants for NO _x , SO ₂ , PM ₁₀ , and PM _{2.5}	3.1-8
Figure 3.1-3	Air Quality Monitoring Sites.....	3.1-10
Figure 3.1-4	Average Visibility Levels	3.1-13
Figure 3.1-5	Average Total Annual Wet Deposition Rate at Six National Deposition Network Sites	3.1-16
Figure 3.1-6	Annual Wet Sulfate Deposition at Three National Deposition Network Sites	3.1-16
Figure 3.1-7	Annual Total Mercury Wet Deposition at Mercury Deposition Network Sites	3.1-17
Figure 3.1-8	Residence Receptors at Proposed KMC	3.1-19
Figure 3.1-9	Wind Rose Plot for Page Municipal Airport	3.1-23
Figure 3.1-10	AERMOD Dispersion and Deposition Modeling Receptor Grid for NGS.....	3.1-25

Figure 3.1-11	AERMOD Dispersion Modeling Receptor Grid for Proposed KMC.....	3.1-26
Figure 3.1-12	1-hour 5-year Mean 98 th Percentile NO ₂ Concentration (µg/m ³) for 8.1 Million tpy Production Operation in 2027	3.1-41
Figure 3.1-13	24-hour 6 th -High PM ₁₀ Concentration (µg/m ³) for 8.1 Million tpy Production Operation in 2042	3.1-42
Figure 3.1-14	24-hour 5-year Mean 98 th Percentile PM _{2.5} Concentration (µg/m ³) for 8.1 Million tpy Production Operation in 2027	3.1-43
Figure 3.2-1	National Precipitation Trends.....	3.2-4
Figure 3.2-2	Total Annual Flow in Streams that Feed Lake Powell	3.2-5
Figure 3.2-3	Average Monthly Total Precipitation.....	3.2-6
Figure 3.2-4	Average Monthly Total Snowfall	3.2-7
Figure 3.2-5	Distribution of Annual Precipitation Totals for Betatakin (73-year record) and Canyon de Chelly (91 year record).....	3.2-7
Figure 3.2-6	Average Annual Temperature.....	3.2-9
Figure 3.2-7	Monthly Total Precipitation Statistics at Canyon de Chelly from 1909 to 2014	3.2-10
Figure 3.2-8	Observed Annual and Monsoon Season Total Precipitation at Canyon de Chelly	3.2-11
Figure 3.2-9	Total Annual San Juan River Flow at Bluff, Utah.....	3.2-12
Figure 3.2-10	Observed and Predicted Changes in Annual Average Temperature and Annual Precipitation	3.2-23
Figure 3.3-1	Physiography and Project Components.....	3.3-2
Figure 3.3-2	Stratigraphic Chart	3.3-4
Figure 3.3-3	Surficial Geology	3.3-5
Figure 3.3-4	Quaternary Faults.....	3.3-9
Figure 3.7-1	Water Resources Overall Study Areas.....	3.7-6
Figure 3.7-2	N-Aquifer Groundwater Study Area.....	3.7-7
Figure 3.7-3	N-Aquifer Surface Water Study Area	3.7-8
Figure 3.7-4	General Aquifer Relationships.....	3.7-11
Figure 3.7-5	Stratigraphy at NGS	3.7-16
Figure 3.7-6	Selected USGS Water Quality Sample Locations	3.7-21
Figure 3.7-7	N-Aquifer Transmissivities	3.7-24
Figure 3.7-8	D-Aquifer Water Quality Wells.....	3.7-28
Figure 3.7-9	Wepo Aquifer Transmissivities	3.7-31
Figure 3.7-10	General Nature of the Wepo Formation	3.7-32
Figure 3.7-11	Alluvial Aquifer Transmissivities	3.7-35
Figure 3.7-12	N-Aquifer Maximum Mine-related Proposed Action Pumping Drawdown	3.7-52
Figure 3.7-13	Simulated Stream Baseflow Locations.....	3.7-58
Figure 3.7-14	Springs Groups	3.7-60

Figure 3.7-15	Springs Groups and N-Aquifer Maximum Drawdown, Proposed Mine-related Pumping.....	3.7-63
Figure 3.7-16	N-Aquifer Maximum Cumulative Pumping Water Level Change 2020-2110.....	3.7-100
Figure 3.8-1	Land Cover for NGS and Proposed KMC Study Areas	3.8-3
Figure 3.8-2	Proposed KMC Vegetation Communities - 2019	3.8-11
Figure 3.8-3	Proposed KMC Vegetation Communities and Planned Mining.....	3.8-23
Figure 3.11-1	California Condor Occupied Habitat.....	3.11-8
Figure 3.11-2	Mexican Spotted Owl Habitat	3.11-11
Figure 3.11-3	Southwestern Willow Flycatcher and Yellow-billed Cuckoo Potential Habitat.....	3.11-15
Figure 3.11-4	Mexican Spotted Owl Suitable Habitat Proposed KMC.....	3.11-26
Figure 3.11-5	Black-footed Ferret Potential Habitat along the STS	3.11-31
Figure 3.11-6	Southwestern Willow Flycatcher and Yellow-billed Cuckoo Critical and Potential Habitat along the WTS.....	3.11-34
Figure 3.11-7	Southwestern Willow Flycatcher and Yellow-billed Cuckoo Critical and Potential Habitat along the STS.....	3.11-35
Figure 3.11-8	Mojave Desert Tortoise Occupied, Critical, and Potential Habitat along the WTS.....	3.11-38
Figure 3.11-9	Sonoran Desert Tortoise Potential Habitat and Predicted Habitat Quality along the STS.....	3.11-41
Figure 3.11-10	Narrow-headed and Northern Mexican Gartersnake Proposed Critical Habitat along the STS.....	3.11-44
Figure 3.12-1	Aquatic Biological Resources for the NGS and Proposed KMC	3.12-3
Figure 3.12-2	Aquatic Biological Resources for Transmission Systems.....	3.12-5
Figure 3.13-1	Federally Listed Fish Species Critical Habitat for NGS and Proposed KMC	3.13-4
Figure 3.13-2	Federally Listed Fish Species Critical Habitat for Transmission Systems	3.13-5
Figure 3.14-1	Proposed KMC Reclamation Status.....	3.14-6
Figure 3.14-2	Special Management Areas along the WTS	3.14-13
Figure 3.14-3	Special Management Areas along the STS	3.14-16
Figure 3.15-1	Typical A-weight Sound Levels.....	3.15-5
Figure 3.16-1	Navajo Generating Station Air Monitoring 50-km Near-field Study Area	3.16-11
Figure 3.16-2	Proposed KMC Residential and Reclaimed Land Surficial Soil Sampling Locations	3.16-16
Figure 3.16-3	Demographic Regions	3.16-21
Figure 3.16-4	Navajo Generating Station at Proposed KMC Grid Cell.....	3.16-44
Figure 3.17-1	Cultural Resources Project Overview.....	3.17-11
Figure 3.17-2	Proposed KMC Areas of Potential Effects	3.17-12
Figure 3.17-3	Cultural Environmental Areas	3.17-18
Figure 3.17-4	Proposed KMC – Kayenta Mine and Former Black Mesa Mine.....	3.17-28

Figure 3.18-1	Socioeconomic Reference Map.....	3.18-3
Figure 3.18-2	Navajo Nation (Northwest Portion) and Hopi Reservation	3.18-4
Figure 3.18-3	Total Full-time and Part-time Employment.....	3.18-12
Figure 3.18-4	Percentage Distribution of General Fund Expenditures by the Navajo Nation, 2013.....	3.18-23
Figure 3.18-5	Navajo Nation Chapters and Hopi Reservation	3.18-32
Figure 3.18-6	Customers with Highest CAWCD Water Deliveries, 2014	3.18-49
Figure 3.18-7	CAWCD Operating Expenses by Major Category, 2014.....	3.18-50
Figure 3.18-8	Water and Energy Delivery Revenue by Major CAWCD Customer Category, 2014.....	3.18-52
Figure 3.18-9	CAWCD Firm and Advisory Water Delivery Rates, 2014 to 2020	3.18-55
Figure 3.18-10	Annual CAP Energy Costs under the Natural Gas PFR Alternative, 2030 to 2044.....	3.18-95
Figure 3.18-11	Annual CAP Energy Costs for the Renewable PFR Alternative, 2030 to 2044	3.18-108
Figure 3.18-12	Annual CAP Energy Costs under the Tribal PFR Alternative, 2030 to 2044	3.18-122